

# Energy Decay for the Strongly Damped Nonlinear Beam Equation and Its Applications in Moving Boundary

Jung Ae Kim · Keonhee Lee

Received: 20 July 2008 / Accepted: 25 September 2008 / Published online: 9 October 2008  
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2008

**Abstract** We study the existence and energy decay of solutions for the strongly damped nonlinear beam equation. We apply a method based on Nakao method to show that the solution decays exponentially, and to obtain precise estimates of the constants in the estimates. Finally, we discuss its applications in moving boundary.

**Keywords** Nonlinear beam equation · Moving boundary · Nakao method · Galerkin method

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the existence and energy decay of solutions for the following strongly damped nonlinear beam equation:

$$\begin{aligned} Ku'' + A^2u + (\alpha + M(\|A^{1/2}u\|^2))Au + \delta Au' &= 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) &= u_1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where the operator  $A$  and the function  $M(\cdot)$  satisfy some convenient assumptions,  $\delta > 0$  is a constant and  $\alpha$  is arbitrary real number. Moreover, we study the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions as well as the uniform decay of the energy to application of (1.1) over a non-cylindrical domain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - (\alpha + M(\|\nabla u\|^2))\Delta u + \Delta u_t &= 0 \quad \text{in } \hat{Q}, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \hat{\Sigma}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) &= u_1(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega_0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

---

J.A. Kim (✉) · K. Lee  
Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea  
e-mail: jakim@nims.re.kr

K. Lee  
e-mail: khlee@math.cnu.ac.kr

where  $\nu = \nu(\sigma, t)$  is the unit normal at  $(\sigma, t) \in \hat{\Sigma}$  directed towards the exterior of  $\hat{Q}$ . If we denote by  $\eta$  the outer unit normal to the boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $\Omega$ , we have, using a parametrization of  $\Gamma$ ,

$$\nu(\sigma, t) = \frac{1}{\nu}(\eta(\xi), -\gamma'(t)\xi \cdot \eta(\xi)), \quad \xi = \frac{\sigma}{\gamma(t)}, \quad \text{where } \nu = (1 + \gamma'(t)|\xi \cdot \eta(\xi)|^2)^{1/2}. \tag{1.3}$$

The transverse deflection of an extensible beam of length  $L > 0$  whose ends are held at fixed distance apart as a physical model of (1.1) is written in the form of the hyperbolic equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^4} = \left( \alpha + \beta \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u(s, t)}{\partial s} \right|^2 ds \right) \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2},$$

which has been proposed by Woinowsky and Krieger [18], where  $u(x, t)$  is the deflection of the point  $x$  of the beam at the time  $t$  and  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  are constants.

The abstract formulation as (1.1) was investigated by several authors [10–12, 14, 15]. In a fixed domain, it is well known that the energy of the system (1.2) also decays to zero, see [8, 13]. But in a moving domain, see [2, 5–7, 17], energy decay were more difficult to obtain than the result in fixed domain. And in this case, the transverse deflection  $u(x, t)$  of a beam which changed its configuration at each instant of time increases its deformation, and hence increases its tension. Moreover, the horizontal movement of the boundary yields non-linear terms involving derivatives in the space variable. See also Eisley [4] and Burgreen [3] for physics justification and background of the model. In this paper, we prove the existence, uniqueness and study asymptotic behavior for solutions for the problem (1.1) with  $\delta = 1$  under some assumptions on  $A, K$  and  $M(\cdot)$ . The method applied in this paper is based on the Galerkin method for existence and the Nakao method for asymptotic behaviour of solutions. Also, our existence result of (1.2) on non-cylindrical domains will apply to the inverse transformation. This method was introduced by Rasso and Ughi [16] to study certain class of parabolic equations in non-cylindrical domains. Unlike the existing papers on stability for hyperbolic equations in non-cylindrical domain, we do not use the penalty method but work directly in our non-cylindrical domain  $\hat{Q}$ . From the physics point of view, system (1.2) describes the transverse deflection of a stretched beam fixed in moving boundary device. We use the standard notations which can be found in Bellman’s book [1] and Lion’s book [9]. The paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we present some notations and assumptions which will be needed later. In Sect. 3, we will study the existence of solutions for the problem (1.1). In Sect. 4, we will study the exponential decay for solutions of the problem (1.1). Finally, in Sect. 5, we will show application of (1.1) in non-cylindrical domain.

### 2 Notations and Assumptions

In this section we prepare some notations and assumptions which will be needed in the proof of our result. Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space with the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . And, in Sect. 5, we employ the usual notations for the standard functional spaces. The inner product and norm on  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $L^2(\Gamma)$  are denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\Gamma$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_\Gamma$  respectively. We denote the Hilbert space  $H(\Delta, \Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega); \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)\}$ , provided with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H(\Delta, \Omega)} = (\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $H^1(\Omega)$  is the usual Sobolev space of first order. Now, we state the following hypotheses which will be assumed in this paper:

(H.1)  $A$  is a linear operator in  $H$ , with the domain  $D(A)$  dense in  $H$  and  $A$  is a self-adjoint and positive operator, i.e., there is a constant  $k > 0$  such that  $(Av, v) \geq k\|v\|^2$ , for  $v$  in  $D(A)$ .

(H.2)  $M(\lambda)$  is a real  $C^1$ -class function with  $M(\lambda) \geq m_0 + m_1\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $m_0 > 0$ ,  $m_1 > 0$ .

(H.3)  $K$  is a linear and self-adjoint operator with domain  $H$  and  $(Ku, u) > 0$  for all  $u \in H$ .

For priori estimates, we need the following Gronwall’s inequality (see [1]):

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $k > 0$  and  $c \geq 0$  are constants, then the inequality*

$$f(t) \leq k + c \int_0^t h(s)f(s)ds$$

*implies that*

$$f(t) \leq k \exp\left(c \int_0^t h(s)ds\right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

To prove the decay estimate of the energy, we use the following difference inequality by Nakao and its proof can be found in [11].

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $E(t)$  be a nonincreasing and nonnegative function on  $[0, T]$  such that*

$$E(t) \leq k_0(E(t) - E(t + 1)) \quad \text{on } [0, T],$$

*where  $k_0$  is a positive constant. Then we have*

$$E(t) \leq E(0)e^{-k_1[t-1]^+} \quad \text{on } [0, T], \quad \text{where } k_1 = \log\left(\frac{k_0}{k_0 - 1}\right).$$

### 3 Existence Results of (1.1)

In this section, we are going to show the existence of solution of problem (1.1) using Faedo-Galerkin’s approximation. Considering the hypotheses (H.1)–(H.3) we have the following existence result:

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\alpha$  be arbitrary real number,  $u_0$  belongs to  $D(A^{k+1/2})$ ,  $u_1$  belongs to  $D(A^k)$  for all natural number  $k$ . Then there is a unique function  $u(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t < T$  for  $0 < T < \infty$ , such that  $u(t)$  and  $u'(t)$  belong to  $C([0, T]; D(A^k))$  for all natural number  $k$  and  $u(t)$  satisfies*

$$Ku'' + A^2u + (\alpha + M(\|A^{1/2}u\|^2))Au + Au' = 0 \quad \text{in } H, \tag{3.4}$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1. \tag{3.5}$$

*Proof* For simplicity of proof, we only consider  $\delta = 1$  without loss of generality. To prove the existence we use the Galerkin method, taking for base the eigenvectors of the operator  $A$ . In fact, let  $(w_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence of eigenvectors of  $A$  corresponding to the sequence  $(\lambda_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  of its eigenvalues. Let  $V_m = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m]$  be the  $m$ -dimensional subspace of

$H$  generated by the  $m$  first eigenvectors of  $A$ . We define the approximate solutions  $u_m(t)$  of (3.1) in the following way:  $u_m(t) \in V_m$ , i.e.,

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_{im}(t)w_i, \tag{3.6}$$

$$(Ku_m''(t), v) + (Au_m(t), Av) + (\alpha + M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2))(Au_m(t), v) + (Au_m'(t), v) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$u_m(0) = u_{0m}, \quad u_m'(0) = u_{1m}. \tag{3.8}$$

By  $u_{0m}$  and  $u_{1m}$  we represent, respectively, the partial sum of the  $m$  first terms in the eigenvectors expansion of the vectors  $u_0$  and  $u_1$ . Systems (3.7) and (3.8) of ordinary differential equations, in the variable  $t$ , has a solution  $u_m(t)$  in an interval  $[0, t_m)$ . In the next step we obtain a priori estimate for the solution  $u_m(t)$ , so that it can be extended outside  $[0, t_m)$ , to obtain one solution defined for all  $t > 0$ .

**Step 1: First Priori Estimate**

Taking  $v = 2u_m'(t)$  in (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|K^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 \\ & + M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + 2\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Integrating from 0 to  $t$ , with  $0 \leq t < t_m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \left( M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(s)\|^2) \frac{d}{ds} \|A^{1/2}u_m(s)\|^2 \right) ds + 2 \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}u_m'(s)\|^2 ds \\ & = \|K^{1/2}u_{1m}\|^2 + \|Au_{0m}\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{1/2}u_{0m}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\hat{M}$  be the primitive of  $M$  defined by  $\hat{M}(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda M(s)ds$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 \\ & + \hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2) + 2 \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}u_m'(s)\|^2 ds \\ & = P_m, \end{aligned}$$

with  $P_m = \|K^{1/2}u_{1m}\|^2 + \|Au_{0m}\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{1/2}u_{0m}\|^2 + \hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_{0m}\|^2)$  which converges to  $P_0 = \|K^{1/2}u_1\|^2 + \|Au_0\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2) + 2 \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}u_m'(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq R_m + |\alpha| \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where  $R_m = \|K^{1/2}u_{1m}\|^2 + |\alpha| \|A^{1/2}u_{0m}\|^2 + \|Au_{0m}\|^2 + \hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_{0m}\|^2)$  which converges to  $R_0 = \|K^{1/2}u_1\|^2 + |\alpha| \|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2 + \|Au_0\|^2 + \hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_0\|^2)$ . Therefore, there is a constant

$C_0 > 0$ , independent of  $m$  and greater than  $R_m$  such that (3.10) still holds, with  $R_m$  replaced by  $C_0$ .

Now,  $M(\lambda) \geq m_0 + m_1\lambda$  implies  $\hat{M}(\lambda) \geq m_0\lambda + (m_1/2)\lambda^2$ . For  $|\alpha|\sigma \leq |\alpha|^2/(2m_1) + (m_1/2)\sigma^2$ , we have

$$\|K^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + m_0\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}u'_m(s)\|^2 ds \leq C, \tag{3.11}$$

where  $C = C_0 + |\alpha|^2/(2m_1)$ , a constant independent of  $m$ .

$$\|K^{1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 \leq C - 2 \int_0^T \|A^{1/2}u'_m(s)\|^2 ds.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq C + \int_0^T (\|u'_m(s)\|^2 + \|Au_m(s)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_m(s)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u'_m(s)\|^2) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, applying the Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\|u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 \leq Ce^T. \tag{3.12}$$

In particular, since  $\delta\|u_m(t)\|^2 \leq (Au_m(t), u_m(t))$ , it follows that  $u_m(t)$  remains bounded; hence, it can be extend to  $[0, T)$ . Therefore, (3.12) holds for all  $m$  and  $t \in [0, T)$ .

**Step 2: Second Priori Estimate**

Since  $V_m$  is generated by the  $m$  first eigenvectors of  $A$ , we can take in (3.7)  $v = 2A^{2k}u'_m(t)$ , for all natural numbers  $k$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|K^{1/2}A^k u'_m(t)\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{k+1}u_m(t)\|^2 + \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{k+1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 \\ & + M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{k+1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + 2\|A^{k+1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Let us set  $\gamma_k(t) = \|K^{1/2}A^k u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{k+1}u_m(t)\|^2$ ,  $\beta_k(t) = \|A^{k+1/2}u_m(t)\|^2$  and  $\mu(t) = M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2)$ . Then (3.13) can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \gamma_k(t) + \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \beta_k(t) + \mu(t) \frac{d}{dt} \beta_k(t) + 2\|A^{k+1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

If we set  $h_k(t) = \gamma_k(t) + \alpha\beta_k(t) + \mu(t)\beta_k(t)$ , then we obtain

$$h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t) = \gamma_k(t) + \mu(t)\beta_k(t) \tag{3.15}$$

and hence  $h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)$  is a positive function for  $t \geq 0$ . Derivating both sides of (3.15) with respect to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} (h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} \gamma_k(t) + \mu(t) \frac{d}{dt} \beta_k(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \mu(t) \beta_k(t). \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.14) and (3.16) we can write

$$\frac{d}{dt}(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) = -\alpha \frac{d}{dt}\beta_k(t) + \frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)\beta_k(t) - 2\|A^{k+1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Using the definition of  $\beta_k(t)$ , finding its derivative with respect to  $t$  and by the Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt}\beta_k(t) \right| \leq \gamma_k(t). \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.15), (3.17) and (3.18) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt}(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) \right| &\leq |\alpha|\gamma_k(t) + \left| \frac{d}{dt}\mu(t) \right| \beta(t) \\ &\leq \left( |\alpha| + \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left| \frac{d}{dt}\mu(t) \right| \right) (h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Since  $\mu(t) = M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2)$ ,  $M(\lambda) \in C^1[0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt}\mu(t) \right| &= |M'(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2)| |2(Au_m(t), u'_m(t))| \\ &\leq |M'(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2)| (\|u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

By the first estimate (3.12) it follows that  $|\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t)| \leq C_1 \max_{0 \leq s \leq C_1} |M'(s)|$ , where  $C_1 = Ce^T$ . Therefore, (3.19) can be written as

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt}(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) \right| \leq C_2(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)), \tag{3.20}$$

where  $C_2 = |\alpha| + \frac{C_1}{m_0} \max_{0 \leq s \leq C_1} |M'(s)|$ .

From (3.20) and the Gronwall inequality (Lemma 2.1), we obtain

$$h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t) \leq (h_k(0) - \alpha\beta_k(0)) \exp(C_2t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{3.21}$$

It follows from (3.21) and according to assumptions on the initial data, that there exists a constant  $C_3 > 0$ , independent of  $m$ , such that

$$\|K^{1/2}A^k u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{k+1}u_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{k+1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 \leq C_3, \tag{3.22}$$

for all natural number  $k$  and all real number  $t \geq 0$ .

**Step 3: Limit of Approximate Solutions**

We prove, first of all, that the priori estimate (3.22) imply that sequences  $(K^{1/2}A^k u'_m(t))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(A^{k+1/2}u_m(t))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(A^k u_m(t))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  are Cauchy sequences on  $[0, T]$ , for all  $T > 0$ . Suppose that  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are natural numbers such that  $m_2 \geq m_1$ ,  $u_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_{im}(t)w_i$ . Set  $w(t) = u_{m_2}(t) - u_{m_1}(t)$ , where  $u_{m_1}(t)$  and  $u_{m_2}(t)$  are two solutions of

the approximate system (3.7). It follows that  $w(t)$  satisfies the following three conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (Kw''(t), v) + (Aw(t), Av) + \alpha(Aw(t), v) \\
 & \quad + M(\|A^{1/2}u_{m_2}(t)\|^2)(Aw(t), v) + (Aw'(t), v) \\
 & = (M(\|A^{1/2}u_{m_1}(t)\|^2) - M(\|A^{1/2}u_{m_2}(t)\|^2))(Au_{m_1}, v); \tag{3.23} \\
 & w(0) = u_{m_2}(0) - u_{m_1}(0) = u_{0m_2} - u_{0m_1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m_1, m_2 \rightarrow 0; \\
 & w'(0) = u'_{m_2}(0) - u'_{m_1}(0) = u_{1m_2} - u_{1m_1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m_1, m_2 \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $v = 2A^{2k}w'(t)$  in (3.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \|K^{1/2}A^k w'(t)\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{k+1}w(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{k+1/2}w(t)\|^2 \\
 & \quad + M(\|A^{1/2}u_{m_2}(t)\|^2) \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{k+1/2}w(t)\|^2 + 2\|A^{k+1/2}w'(t)\|^2 \\
 & = 2(M(\|A^{1/2}u_{m_1}(t)\|^2) - M(\|A^{1/2}u_{m_2}(t)\|^2))(Au_{m_1}(t), A^{2k}w'(t)). \tag{3.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_k(t) &= \|K^{1/2}A^k w'(t)\|^2 + \|A^{k+1}w(t)\|^2 \quad \text{and} \\
 \beta_k(t) &= \|A^{k+1/2}w(t)\|^2, \quad \mu_v(t) = M(\|A^{1/2}u_{m_v}(t)\|^2) \quad (v = 1, 2);
 \end{aligned}$$

with this notation, the differential equation (3.24) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \gamma_k(t) + \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \beta_k(t) + \mu_2(t) \frac{d}{dt} \beta_k(t) \\
 & = 2(\mu_1(t) - \mu_2(t))(A^k u_{m_1}(t), A^k w'(t)) - 2\|A^{k+1/2}w'(t)\|^2. \tag{3.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

We define  $h_k(t)$  by  $h_k(t) = \gamma_k(t) + \alpha\beta_k(t) + \mu_2(t)\beta_k(t)$ .

Taking the derivative of the last equation and using (3.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} (h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) &= -\alpha \frac{d}{dt} \beta_k(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \mu_2(t) \beta_k(t) \\
 & \quad + 2(\mu_1(t) - \mu_2(t))(A^k u_{m_1}(t), A^k w'(t)) - 2\|A^{k+1/2}w'(t)\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\mu_v(t)$  and (3.22), we have  $|\mu_2(t) - \mu_1(t)| \leq C_4 \|A^{1/2}w(t)\|$  and we also have  $|(A^k u_{m_1}(t), A^k w'(t))| \leq C_3 \|A^k w'(t)\|$ .

Therefore we obtain by (3.18),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{d}{dt} (h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) \right| \\
 & \leq |\alpha| \gamma_k(t) + \left| \frac{d}{dt} \mu_2(t) \right| \beta_k(t) + 2C_3 C_4 \|A^{1/2}w(t)\| \|A^k w'(t)\| \\
 & \leq |\alpha| \gamma_k(t) + \left| \frac{d}{dt} \mu_2(t) \right| \beta_k(t) + K \|A^{1/2}w(t)\|^2 + K \|A^k w'(t)\|^2, \tag{3.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = C_3 C_4$ .

Since  $\gamma_k(t) \leq h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)$ ,  $\beta_k(t) \leq (h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t))/\mu_2(t)$  and  $|A^k w'(t)|^2 \leq \gamma_k(t)$ , we obtain the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{dt}(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) \right| \\ & \leq |\alpha|(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) + (h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) \left| \frac{d}{dt} \mu_2(t) \right| \frac{1}{\mu_2(t)} \\ & \quad + K(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) + K\beta_0(t) \\ & \leq K_0(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) + K\beta_0(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where  $K_0 = |\alpha| + M + K$ .

If we set  $k = 0$  in (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt}(h_0(t) - \alpha\beta_0(t)) \right| & \leq K_0(h_0(t) - \alpha\beta_0(t)) + K\beta_0(t) \\ & \leq (K_0 + K/m_0)(h_0(t) - \alpha\beta_0(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$h_0(t) - \alpha\beta_0(t) \leq (h_0(0) - \alpha\beta_0(0)) \exp(K_0 + K/m_0)t.$$

Since  $h_0(t) - \alpha\beta_0(t) = \gamma_0(t) + \mu_2(t)\beta_0(t) \geq \gamma_0(t) + m_0\beta_0(t)$ , we obtain

$$\beta_0(t) \leq (\gamma_0(0) + K\beta_0(0)) \exp(K_0 + K/m_0)T/m_0 = K_3,$$

where  $K_2$  depends only on the constant  $C_1 = Ce^T$  of (3.12). Therefore we obtain the following inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) \leq K_0(h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t)) + K K_3.$$

Hence

$$h_k(t) - \alpha\beta_k(t) \leq (h_k(0) - \alpha\beta_k(0) + K K_3 T) e^{TK_0}.$$

From this inequality, we obtain

$$\gamma_k(t) + m_0\beta_k(t) \leq (\gamma_k(0) + M(\|A^{1/2}u_{0m_2}\|^2)\beta_k(0))e^{TK_0} + K_4(\gamma_k(0) + K_2\beta_0(0)),$$

where  $K_4 = KT \exp(K_0 + K/m_0)T/m_0 e^{TK_0}$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

By the definition of  $\gamma_k(t)$  and  $\beta_k(t)$ , and the last inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K^{1/2}A^k u'_{m_2}(t) - K^{1/2}A^k u'_{m_1}(t)\|^2 + \|A^{k+1}u_{m_2}(t) - A^{k+1}u_{m_1}(t)\|^2 \\ & \quad + m_0\|A^{k+1/2}u_{m_2}(t) - A^{k+1/2}u_{m_1}(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq (\|K^{1/2}A^k u_{1m_2} - K^{1/2}A^k u_{1m_1}\|^2 + \|A^{k+1}u_{0m_2} - A^{k+1}u_{0m_1}\|^2 \\ & \quad + M(\|A^{1/2}u_{0m_2}\|^2)\|A^{k+1/2}u_{0m_2} - A^{k+1/2}u_{0m_1}\|^2) \exp(TK_0) \\ & \quad + K_4(\|K^{1/2}u_{1m_2} - K^{1/2}u_{1m_1}\|^2 + \|Au_{0m_2} - Au_{0m_1}\|^2 \\ & \quad + K_2\|A^{1/2}u_{0m_2} - A^{1/2}u_{0m_1}\|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

It follows that the maximum on  $[0, T]$  of each term in the left-hand side of (3.28) converges to zero as  $m_1, m_2$  go to infinity. Therefore, we conclude that the sequences  $(K^{1/2}A^k u'_m(t))$ ,  $(A^{k+1}u_m(t))$ ,  $(A^{k+1/2}u_m(t))$  are Cauchy sequences of  $C([0, T], H)$ , hence they are convergent for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $k = 0$  we obtain the existence of a vector  $u(t)$  such that  $(u_m(t))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $u(t)$  in  $C([0, T], H)$ . We also conclude that  $(A^{1/2}u_m(t))$  converges to  $(A^{1/2}u(t))$  in  $C([0, T], H)$  and  $M$  is continuous so that we can pass to the limit in  $M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2)$ . From those convergence, it follows that  $u$  such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(Ku'(t), v) + (Au(t), Av) + (\alpha + M(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2))(Au(t), v) + (Au'(t), v) = 0,$$

for all  $v \in H$ , that is,  $u$  is a solution of (3.7). From the uniform convergence of  $(u_m(t))$  and  $(u'_m(t))$  in  $C([0, T], H)$ , it follows that the limit  $u$  satisfies the initial conditions.

**Step 4: Uniqueness of the Weak Solution**

Suppose  $u$  and  $\bar{u}$  are two solutions for the problem (3.4) and (3.5). Set  $w = u - \bar{u}$ . Then we have

$$Kw''(t) + A^2w(t) + \alpha Aw(t) + M(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2)Aw(t) + Aw'(t) = (M(\|A^{1/2}\bar{u}(t)\|^2) - M(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2))A\bar{u}(t), \tag{3.29}$$

$$w(0) = 0, \quad w'(0) = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

Multiplying (3.29) by  $2w'$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}\|K^{1/2}w'(t)\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\|Aw(t)\|^2 + \alpha \frac{d}{dt}\|A^{1/2}w(t)\|^2 \\ & + M(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2) \frac{d}{dt}\|A^{1/2}w(t)\|^2 + 2\|A^{1/2}w'(t)\|^2 \\ & = 2(M(\|A^{1/2}\bar{u}(t)\|^2) - M(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2))(A\bar{u}, w'(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Integrating (3.31) from 0 to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K^{1/2}w'(t)\|^2 + \|Aw(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|A^{1/2}w(t)\|^2 \\ & + \int_0^t M(\|A^{1/2}u(s)\|^2) \frac{d}{ds}\|A^{1/2}w(s)\|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}w'(s)\|^2 ds \\ & = 2 \int_0^t (M(\|A^{1/2}\bar{u}(s)\|^2) - M(\|A^{1/2}u(s)\|^2))(A\bar{u}(s), w'(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K^{1/2}w'(t)\|^2 + \|Aw(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|A^{1/2}w(t)\|^2 + M(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2)\|A^{1/2}w(t)\|^2 \\ & = \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}w(s)\|^2 \frac{d}{ds}M(\|A^{1/2}u(s)\|^2) ds - 2 \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}w'(s)\|^2 ds \\ & + 2 \int_0^t (M(\|A^{1/2}\bar{u}(s)\|^2) - M(\|A^{1/2}u(s)\|^2))(A\bar{u}(s), w'(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Nothing that  $M(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2) \geq m_0$ ,  $|M(\|A^{1/2}\bar{u}\|^2) - M(\|A^{1/2}u\|^2)| \leq C_0\|A^{1/2}w(t)\|$ , and  $\frac{d}{dt}M(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2) = 2M'(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2)(Au(t), u'(t))$  is bounded, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w'(t)\|^2 + \|Aw(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}w(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq C \int_0^t (\|w'(s)\|^2 + \|Aw(s)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}w(s)\|^2) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

From (3.32) and the Gronwall inequality (Lemma 2.1), we obtain  $w(t) = 0$  in  $[0, T]$ , that is,  $u = \bar{u}$ . The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.  $\square$

### 4 Energy Decay Result of (1.1)

In this section we prove the exponential decay of solutions of problem (1.1) by using Nakao method. Now we are in a position to show the stability problem of (1.1):

**Theorem 4.1** *Under hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, the solution of the problem (3.4)–(3.5) satisfies:*

$$\|K^{1/2}u'(t)\|^2 + \|Au(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2 + \int_t^{t+1} \|A^{1/2}u'(s)\|^2 ds \leq \alpha_1 e^{-\alpha_2 t} \tag{4.33}$$

for all  $t \geq 1$ , where  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2$ , are constants.

*Proof* In order to use Nakao’s method, we consider the approximated equation

$$\begin{aligned} & (Ku_m''(t), w) + (Au_m(t), Aw) \\ & + (\alpha + M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2))(Au_m(t), w) + (Au_m'(t), w) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

for all  $w \in V_m$ .

Letting  $w = u_m'(t)$  in (4.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|K^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|Au_m(t)\|^2 \\ & + (\alpha + M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2)) \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Integrating (4.35) from 0 to  $t$ , we obtain

$$E(t) + \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}u_m'(s)\|^2 ds = E(0), \tag{4.36}$$

where  $E(t) = 1/2(\|K^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \alpha\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + \hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2))$ . From (3.12), we also obtain

$$\|K^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u_m'(t)\|^2 \leq C_1, \tag{4.37}$$

where  $C_1 = Ce^T$ . Integrating (4.35) from  $\tau_1$  to  $\tau_2, 0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2$ , we get

$$E(\tau_2) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \|A^{1/2}u_m'(s)\|^2 ds = E(\tau_1), \tag{4.38}$$

for all  $t > 0$ . Thus we have

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|A^{1/2}u'_m(s)\|^2 ds = E(t) - E(t + 1) = F(t)^2. \tag{4.39}$$

Therefore, there exists two points  $t_1 \in [t, t + 1/4]$  and  $t_2 \in [t + 3/4, t + 1]$  such that

$$\|A^{1/2}u'_m(t_i)\| \leq 2F(t) \quad (i = 1, 2). \tag{4.40}$$

Letting  $w = u_m(t)$  in (4.34) and integrating the equation from  $t_1$  to  $t_2$  and  $M(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2) \geq m_0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + C(\alpha + m_0)) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|Au_m(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq (Ku'_m(t_1), u_m(t_1)) - (Ku'_m(t_2), u_m(t_2)) \\ & \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\|K^{1/2}u'_m(s)\|^2 - (Au'_m(s), u_m(s))) ds \\ & \leq (K_0^2 + C'^2) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u'_m(t)\|^2 ds + C^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|Au_m(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \quad + CK_0 \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in [t, t+1]} \|Au_m(s)\| (\|u'_m(t_1)\| + \|u'_m(t_2)\|), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant such that  $\|u_m(t)\| \leq C\|Au_m(t)\|$ ,  $\|Ku'_m(t_i)\| \leq K_0\|u'_m(t_i)\|$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), and  $\|Au'_m(t)\| \leq C'\|u'_m(t)\|$ . From (4.38) and (4.40), it follow that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + C(\alpha + m_0) - C^2) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|Au_m(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq C_1 \left( F(t)^2 + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in [t, t+1]} \|Au_m(s)\| F(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 = \max(4CK_0, K_0^2 + C'^2)$ .

Whence

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|Au_m(s)\|^2 ds \leq C_2 \left( F(t)^2 + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in [t, t+1]} \|Au_m(s)\| F(t) \right) = G(t)^2. \tag{4.41}$$

It follows from (4.39) and (4.41) that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\|A^{1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(s)\|^2) ds \leq F(t)^2 + G(t)^2.$$

Hence there exist  $t^* \in [t_1, t_2]$  such that

$$\|A^{1/2}u'_m(t^*)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t^*)\|^2 \leq 2(F(t)^2 + G(t)^2). \tag{4.42}$$

Noting now that

$$\hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t^*)\|^2) \leq n_0\|A^{1/2}u_m(t^*)\|^2,$$

where  $n_0 = \max_{0 \leq s \leq \|A^{1/2}u_m(t^*)\|^2} M(s)$ , we get

$$\hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t^*)\|^2) \leq C_3(F(t)^2 + G(t)^2). \tag{4.43}$$

From (4.36), (4.42) and (4.43), it follows that

$$E(t^*) \leq C_4(F(t)^2 + G(t)^2). \tag{4.44}$$

Now, by (4.38), (4.39) and (4.44), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in [t, t+1]} E(s) &\leq E(t^*) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|A^{1/2}u'_m(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\leq C_5F(t)^2 + C_4 \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [t, t+1]} \|Au_m(s)\|F(t) \\ &\leq C_5F(t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in [t, t+1]} E(s). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $E(t) \leq C_7(E(t) - E(t + 1))$  with  $C_7$  a positive constant. We suppose that  $C_7 > 1$  then  $\gamma = 1/C_7 < 1$ . So  $E(t + 1) \leq (1 - \gamma)E(t)$ . Let  $t \geq 1$  and we consider an integer  $n$  such that  $n \leq t \leq n + 1$ . Then

$$E(t) \leq (1 - \gamma)E(t - 1) \leq (1 - \gamma)^n E(t - n) \leq m_1(1 - \gamma)^n, \tag{4.45}$$

where  $m_1 = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [0, 1]} E(t) < \infty$ . Then  $E(t) \leq \gamma_1 e^{t \log(1 - \gamma)} = \gamma_1 e^{-\alpha_2 t}$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , where  $\gamma_1 = \frac{m_1}{1 - \gamma}$  and  $\alpha_2 = -\log(1 - \gamma) > 0$ . Whence

$$\begin{aligned} &\|K^{1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 + \hat{M}(\|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2) \\ &\leq 2\gamma_1 e^{-\alpha_2 t} \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

for all  $t \geq 1$ .

Therefore, by  $M(\lambda) \geq m_0$ , (4.38) and (4.46) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|K^{1/2}u'_m(t)\|^2 + \|Au_m(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{1/2}u_m(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+1} \|A^{1/2}u'_m(s)\|^2 ds \leq \alpha_1 e^{-\alpha_2 t} \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

for all  $t \leq 1$  with  $\alpha_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

By the same way in proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\|K^{1/2}u'(t)\|^2 + \|Au(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2 + \int_t^{t+1} \|A^{1/2}u'(s)\|^2 ds \leq \alpha_1 e^{-\alpha_1 t}$$

for all  $t \geq 1$ , which completes our proof. □

### 5 Existence and Stability Result of (1.2)

In this section we prove the uniform decay, as time goes to infinity, of regular solutions for a nonlinear beam equation with viscous damping  $u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - (\alpha + M(\|\nabla u\|^2))\Delta u - \Delta u_t = 0$  in a non-cylindrical domain of  $R^{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) under suitable hypothesis.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin and having  $C^2$  boundary and  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$  a continuously differential function. Consider the family of subdomains  $\{\Omega_t\}_{0 \leq t < \infty}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$\Omega_t = T(\Omega), \quad T : y \in \Omega \rightarrow x = \gamma(t)y,$$

whose boundaries are denoted by  $\Gamma_t$ , and let  $\hat{Q}$  be the non-cylindrical domain of  $R^{n+1}$  given by

$$\hat{Q} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Omega_t \times \{t\} \quad \text{with lateral boundary } \hat{\Sigma} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Gamma_t \times \{t\}.$$

Let  $\phi = 0$  be a parametrization of a part  $\bigcup$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\bigcup$  containing  $\xi = \sigma/\gamma(t)$ . The parametrization of a part  $\bigcup$  of  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is  $\psi(\sigma, t) = \phi(\sigma/\gamma(t)) = \phi(\xi) = 0$ . We have

$$\nabla \psi(\sigma, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} (\nabla \phi(\xi), -\gamma'(t)\xi \cdot \nabla \phi(\xi)). \tag{5.48}$$

From this and observing that  $\eta(\xi) = \nabla \phi(\xi)/|\nabla \phi(\xi)|$ , expression (1.3) follows. Let  $\bar{v}(\cdot, t)$  be the  $x$ -component of unit normal  $v(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $|\bar{v}| \leq 1$ . Then by relation (1.3), one has

$$\bar{v}(\sigma, t) = \eta\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma(t)}\right). \tag{5.49}$$

The method we use to prove the result of existence and uniqueness is based on transforming our problem into another initial boundary value problem defined over a cylindrical domain whose sections are not time dependent. This is done using a suitable change of variable. Then we show the existence and uniqueness for this new problem. Our existence result on domains with moving boundary will follow by using the inverse transformation, that is, by using the diffeomorphism.

$$\tau : \hat{Q} \rightarrow Q, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_t \rightarrow (y, t) = \left(\frac{x}{\gamma(t)}, t\right) \tag{5.50}$$

and  $\tau^{-1} : Q \rightarrow \hat{Q}$  defined by

$$\tau^{-1}(y, t) = (x, t) = (\gamma(t)y, t). \tag{5.51}$$

Denoting by  $v$  the function

$$v(y, t) = u \circ \tau^{-1}(y, t) = u(\gamma(t)y, t), \tag{5.52}$$

the initial boundary value problem (1.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &v_{tt} + \gamma^{-4} \Delta^2 v - \gamma^{-2} (\alpha + M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|^2)) \Delta v + \gamma^{-2} \Delta v_t \\ &\quad - A(t)v + a_1 \cdot \nabla \partial_t v + a_2 \cdot \nabla v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ &v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ &v(y, 0) = v_0(y), \quad v_t(y, 0) = v_1(y) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

where

$$A(t)v = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{y_i} ((a_{ij} \partial_{y_j} + \partial_{y_i} a'_{ij} \partial_{y_j})v) \tag{5.54}$$

$$a_{ij}(y, t) = -(\gamma' \gamma^{-1})^2 y_i y_j, \quad a'_{ij}(y, t) = -(\gamma' \gamma^{-3}) y_i y_j \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n), \tag{5.55}$$

$$a_1(y, t) = -2\gamma' \gamma^{-1} y, \quad a_2(y, t) = -\gamma^{-2} y (\gamma'' \gamma + (n - 1)(\gamma')^2). \tag{5.56}$$

To show the existence of strong solution, we will use the following hypotheses:

$$\gamma' \leq 0 \quad \text{if } n > 2, \quad \gamma' \geq 0 \quad \text{if } n \leq 2, \tag{5.57}$$

$$\gamma \in L^\infty(0, \infty), \quad \inf_{0 \leq t < \infty} \gamma(t) = \gamma_0 > 0, \tag{5.58}$$

$$\gamma' \in W^{2,\infty}(0, \infty) \cap W^{2,1}(0, \infty). \tag{5.59}$$

Note that assumption (5.57) means that  $\hat{Q}$  is decreasing if  $n > 2$  and increasing if  $n \leq 2$  in the sense that when  $t > t'$  and  $n > 2$ , then the projection of  $\Omega_{t'}$  on the subspace  $t = 0$  contains the projection of  $\Omega_t$  on the same subspace and contrary in the case  $n \leq 2$ . Concerning the function  $M \in C^1[0, \infty)$ , we assume that

$$M(\tau) \geq -m_0, \quad M(\tau)\tau \geq \hat{M}(\tau), \quad \forall \tau \geq 0, \tag{5.60}$$

where  $\hat{M}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau M(s)ds$  and

$$\Delta^2 w = \lambda_1 w \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Gamma. \tag{5.61}$$

Now, we will study the existence and regularity of solutions for the system (1.2). The well posedness of system (5.53) is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1** *Take  $v_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ ,  $v_1 \in H_0^2(\Omega)$  and suppose that assumptions (5.57)–(5.60) hold. Then there exists a unique solution  $v$  of the problem (5.53) satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} v &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)), & v_t &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega)), \\ v_{tt} &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.62}$$

*Proof* We denote by  $B$  the operator

$$Bw = \Delta^2 w, \quad D(B) = H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega). \tag{5.63}$$

It is well known that  $B$  is a positive self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega)$  for which there exist sequences  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of  $B$  such that the set of linear combinations of  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is dense in  $D(B)$  and  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We denote by  $V_m$  the space generated by  $w_1, \dots, w_m$ . Standard results on ordinary differential equations imply the existence of a local solution  $v_m$  of the form

$$v^m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jm}(t)w_j, \tag{5.64}$$

to the system

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (v_t^m + \gamma^{-4} \Delta^2 v^m - \gamma^{-2} (\alpha + M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|^2)) \Delta v^m + \gamma^{-2} \Delta v_t^m \\
 & - A(t)v^m + a_1 \cdot \nabla \partial_t v^m + a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m, w_j) = 0, \quad w_j \in V_m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (5.65) \\
 & v^m(y, 0) = v_0^m, \quad v_t^m(y, 0) = v_t^m. \quad (5.66)
 \end{aligned}$$

The extension of these solutions to the interval  $[0, \infty)$  is a consequence of the first estimate which we are going to prove below. Applying similar method step 1 and step 2 of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following estimates:

$$\|v_t^m(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v^m(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta v^m(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_t^m(t)\|^2 \leq L_1, \quad (5.67)$$

$$\|\Delta v_t^m(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta^2 v^m(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta v^m(t)\|^2 \leq L_2. \quad (5.68)$$

First of all, it easy to see from (5.65) that

$$\|v_{tt}^m(0)\|^2 \leq L_3.$$

Next, differentiating (5.65) with respect to the time, multiplying by  $v''(t)$ , and using similar arguments as above estimates, we obtain, after some calculations and taking into account above estimates,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_m(t) + \|\nabla v_{tt}(t)\|^2 \leq L_4(|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) \|v_t^m(t)\|^2 + L_4(|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) E_m(t), \quad (5.69)$$

where

$$E_m(t) = \|v_{tt}^m(t)\|^2 + \gamma^{-2} M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m(t)\|^2) \|\nabla v_t^m(t)\|^2. \quad (5.70)$$

Using Gronwall’s lemma, we get

$$E_m(t) + \int_0^t \|\nabla v_{tt}(s)\|^2 ds \leq L_5. \quad (5.71)$$

From estimates (5.67)–(5.71), we have that  $v$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 v^m & \rightarrow v \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)), \\
 v_t^m & \rightarrow v_t \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega)), \\
 v_{tt}^m & \rightarrow v_{tt} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega)).
 \end{aligned}$$

By compactness results  $H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$  we can get a sequence such that

$$v^m \rightarrow v \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, \infty; H_0^1(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, since  $M \in C^1[0, \infty)$  and  $\nabla v^m$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ , we have

$$\int_0^t |M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|^2) - M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|^2)| ds \leq C \int_0^t \|v^m - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 ds,$$

which is

$$M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|^2) (\Delta v^m, w_j) \rightarrow M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|^2) (\Delta v, w_j). \quad (5.72)$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (5.65), we conclude that

$$v_{tt} + \gamma^{-4} \Delta^2 v - \gamma^{-2} (\alpha + M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|^2)) \Delta v + \gamma^{-2} \Delta v_t - A(t)v + a_1 \cdot \nabla \partial_t v + a_2 \cdot \nabla v = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)). \tag{5.73}$$

To prove the uniqueness of solutions of the problem (5.73), we use the method of the energy introduced by Lions [9], coupled with Gronwall’s inequality and the hypotheses introduced in the paper about the functions  $M, g$  and the obtained estimates.  $\square$

To show the existence in noncylindrical domains, we return to our original problem in the noncylindrical domains by using the change variable given in (5.52) by  $\tau^{-1}(y, t) = (x, t), (x, t) \in \widehat{Q}$ . Let  $v$  be the solution obtained from Theorem 5.1 and  $u$  defined by (5.52), then  $u$  belong to the classes

$$u \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega_t) \cap H^4(\Omega_t)), \tag{5.74}$$

$$u_t \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega_t)), \tag{5.75}$$

$$u_{tt} \in L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega_t)). \tag{5.76}$$

Denoting that

$$u(x, t) = v(y, t) = (v \circ \tau)(x, t),$$

then from (5.52) follows that

$$u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - (\alpha + M(\|\nabla u\|^2)) \Delta u - \Delta u_t = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega_t)). \tag{5.77}$$

By uniqueness result of Theorem 5.1, we have  $u_1 = u_2$ , where  $u_1, u_2$  are two solutions obtained through the diffeomorphism  $\tau$  given by (5.50). Therefore, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.2** *Take  $u_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0) \cap H^4(\Omega_0), u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$  and suppose that assumptions (5.57) and (5.60) hold. Then there exists a unique solution  $u$  of the (1.2) satisfying (5.74) and (5.77).*

Finally, we show that the solution of system (1.2) decays exponentially. Additionally, we assume that the function  $\gamma(\cdot)$  satisfies the conditions

$$\gamma' \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad n > 2, \tag{5.78}$$

$$0 < \max_{0 \leq t < \infty} |\gamma'(t)| \leq \frac{1}{d}, \tag{5.79}$$

where  $d = \text{diam}(\Omega)$ . The condition (5.78) implies that our domain are “time-like” in the sense that  $|\underline{v}| < |\overline{v}|$ , where  $\underline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$  denote the  $x$ -component and  $t$ -component of the outer unit normal of  $\widehat{\Sigma}$ .

First of all, we will prove the following lemmas that will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $M(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the smooth function defined in  $\Omega_t \times [0, \infty)$ . Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} M(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{d}{dt} M(x, t) dx + \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_t} M(x, t) (x \cdot \overline{v}) d\Gamma_t,$$

where  $\overline{v}$  is the  $x$ -component of the unit normal exterior  $v$ .

*Proof* For the proof, see for example [5]. □

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ . Then for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,*

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} = \eta_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial v}.$$

*Proof* For the proof, see for example [17]. □

Now we are in a position to show the uniform decay of the problem (1.2).

**Theorem 5.3** *Take  $u_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0) \cap H^4(\Omega_0), u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$  and suppose that assumptions (5.57) and (5.60) hold. Then the strong solution of the system (1.2) satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq CE(0)e^{-\xi t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where  $C$  and  $\xi$  are positive constants.

*Proof* Multiplying (1.2) by  $u_t$ , performing an integration by parts over  $\Omega_t$ , and using Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ = \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma}M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) \int_{\Gamma_t} |\nabla u(t)|^2(\bar{v} \cdot x)d\Gamma_t + \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma}(\bar{v} \cdot x)(|u_t(t)|^2 + |\Delta u(t)|^2)d\Gamma_t, \end{aligned}$$

where  $E(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2)$ .

Using (5.78), we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma}M(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2) \int_{\Gamma_t} |\nabla u(t)|^2(\bar{v} \cdot x)d\Gamma_t + \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma}(\bar{v} \cdot x)(|u_t(t)|^2 + |\Delta u(t)|^2)d\Gamma_t \leq 0.$$

By above two equations, we have the following result:

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \leq -\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2. \tag{5.80}$$

We consider the following functional:

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = E(t) + \varepsilon\Psi(t), \quad \text{where } \Psi(t) = \int_{\Omega_t} uu_t dx + \int_{\Omega_t} \nabla u_t \nabla u dx, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \tag{5.81}$$

It is not difficult to see that  $\mathcal{L}(t)$  verifies

$$k_0 E(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(t) \leq k_1 E(t), \quad \text{for } k_0, k_1 \text{ are positive constants.} \tag{5.82}$$

We will show later that the functional  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the inequality of the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3** *Let  $f$  be a real positive function of class  $\mathbb{C}^1$ . If there exists positive constant  $c_0$  such that  $f'(t) \leq -c_0 f(t)$ , then there exist positive constants  $\xi$  and  $c_1$  such that  $f(t) \leq c_1 f(0)e^{-\xi t}$ .*

*Proof* The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof [13], we will omit.  $\square$

Taking the derivative of  $\Psi(t)$  defined in (5.81) with respect to  $t$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t) &= \|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad - (\alpha + M(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)})) \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\leq -2E(t) + 2\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + 2\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \widehat{M}(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2) \\ &\leq -2E(t) + 4E(0). \end{aligned} \quad (5.83)$$

Then, using (5.80) and (5.83), choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) \leq -2\varepsilon E(t) - (1 - 2\varepsilon) \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + 2\varepsilon \|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq -k_2 \mathcal{L}(t), \quad (5.84)$$

where  $k_2$  is a positive constant depend on  $\varepsilon, k_1$ .

Then, using Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \leq c_1 \mathcal{L}(0) e^{-\xi t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, using (5.84), we see that the following uniform decay result:

$$E(t) \leq CE(0) e^{-\xi t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 5.3 is completed.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement** This work was supported by the second Brain Korea 21 project.

## References

1. Bellman, R.: Inequalities. Springer, Berlin (1971)
2. Benabidallah, R., Ferreira, J.: Asymptotic behaviour for the nonlinear beam equation in noncylindrical domain. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **6**, 219–234 (2002)
3. Burgreen, D.: Free vibrations of a pinended column with constant distance between pinends. *J. Appl. Mech.* **18**, 135–139 (1951)
4. Eislely, J.G.: Nonlinear vibrations of beams and rectangular plates. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **15**, 167–193 (1964)
5. Ferreira, J., Santos, M.L.: Asymptotic behaviour for wave equations with memory in a noncylindrical domains. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **2**(4), 511–520 (2003)
6. Ferreira, J., Benabidallah, R., Munoz Rivera, J.E.: Asymptotic behaviour for the nonlinear beam equation in a time-dependent domain. *Rend. Math.* **19**(VII), 177–193 (1999)
7. Ferreira, J., Santos, M.L., Matos, M.P.: Stability for the beam equation with memory in noncylindrical domains. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **27**, 1493–1506 (2004)
8. Lasiecka, I.: Exponential decay rates for the solutions of Euler-Bernoulli equations with boundary dissipation occurring in the moments only. *J. Differ. Equ.* **95**(1), 169–182 (1992)
9. Lions, J.L.: *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites non Linéaires*. Dunod, Paris (1969)
10. Medeiros, L.A.: On a new class of nonlinear wave equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **69**, 252–262 (1979)
11. Nakao, M.: Decay of solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **60**, 542–549 (1977)

12. Nishihara, K.: Exponentially decay of solutions of some quasilinear hyperbolic equations with linear damping. *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Methods Appl.* **8**, 623–636 (1984)
13. Park, J.Y., Kim, J.A.: Global existence and stability for Euler-Bernoulli beam equation with memory condition at the boundary. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **42**(6), 1137–1151 (2005)
14. Patcheu, S.K.: On a global solution and asymptotic behaviour for the generalized damped extensible beam equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **135**, 299–314 (1997)
15. Pereira, D.C.: Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behaviour for solutions of the nonlinear beam equation. *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Methods Appl.* **14**, 613–623 (1990)
16. Rasso, R.D., Ughi, M.: Problèmes de Dirichlet pour une classe d'équations paraboliques non linéaires dégénérées dans des ouverts non cylindriques. *C. R. Seances Acad. Sci. Paris* **308**, 555–558 (1989)
17. Santos, M.L., Ferreira, J., Raposo, C.A.: Existence and uniform decay for a nonlinear beam equation with nonlinearity of Kirchhoff type in domains with moving boundary. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **8**, 901–919 (2005)
18. Woinowsky-Krieger, S.: The effect of axial force on the vibration of hinged bars. *J. Appl. Mech.* **17**, 35–36 (1950)